

# IB SCIENCES INTERNAL ASSESSMENT MARKING FORM FOR FIRST EXAMS MAY 2016

\* This indicator should only be applied when appropriate to the investigation.

#### **PERSONAL ENGAGEMENT**

The extent to which the student engages with topic exploration and personalization. Personal engagement recognized in different attributes and skills (ex. addressing personal interests or showing evidence of independent thinking, creativity or initiative in the designing, implementation or presentation of the investigation)

0	1	2
The student's	The evidence of personal engagement with the	The evidence of personal engagement with the
report does not	exploration is limited with little independent thinking,	exploration is clear with significant independent
reach a standard	initiative or creativity.	thinking, initiative or creativity.
described by the		
descriptors (right)	The justification for research question and/or the	The justification for research question and/or the
	investigation topic choice does not demonstrate	investigation topic choice_demonstrates personal
	personal significance, interest or curiosity.	significance, interest or curiosity.
	There <b>is little</b> evidence of <i>personal input and initiative</i>	There <b>is</b> evidence of <i>personal input and initiative</i> in
	in the designing, implementation or presentation of	the designing, implementation or presentation of the
	the investigation.	investigation.

#### **EXPLORATION**

Establishing the scientific context for the work, states a clear and focused research question and uses concepts and techniques appropriate to the Diploma Program level. Where appropriate, assesses awareness of safety, environmental, and ethical considerations.

0	1 - 2	3 - 4	5 - 6
The student's	Investigation topic is identified;	Investigation topic is identified;	Investigation topic is identified;
report does not	Research question of <b>some</b>	Research question is relevant but	Research question is relevant, fully
reach a standard described by the	relevance is stated but not focused	not fully focused	focused and clear
descriptors (right)	Background information is superficial or of limited relevance and does not aid the understanding of context of the investigation.	Background information is mainly appropriate, relevant and aids the understanding of the context of the investigation.	Background information is entirely appropriate, relevant and enhances the understanding of the context of the investigation.
	Investigation methodology is appropriate: addresses research question to a very limited extent; few of the significant factors that may influence the relevance, reliability and sufficiency of the collected data considered.	Investigation methodology is mainly appropriate: addresses the research question with limitations; some of the significant factors that may influence the relevance, reliability and sufficiency of the collected data considered.	Investigation methodology is highly appropriate: addresses research question; All or nearly all significant factors that may influence the relevance, reliability and sufficiency of the collected data considered.
	Limited awareness of the significant safety, ethical or environmental issues relevant to the investigation methodology*	Some awareness of the significant safety, ethical or environmental issues relevant to the investigation methodology*	Full awareness of the significant safety, ethical or environmental issues relevant to the investigation methodology*

## **A**NALYSIS

Selecting, recording, processing and interpretation of data: 1) relevant to research question 2) supports the conclusion.

0	1 - 2	3 - 4	5 - 6
The student's report does not reach a standard described by the	Insufficient relevant raw data to support a valid research question conclusion	Relevant but incomplete quantitative and qualitative raw data to support a simple or partial valid research question conclusion	Sufficient relevant quantitative and qualitative raw data supports a detailed and valid research question conclusion
descriptors (right)	Some basic data processing is carried out; too inaccurate/ insufficient to validate conclusion	Appropriate and sufficient data processing is carried out; could broadly validate conclusion with significant inaccuracies and inconsistencies	Appropriate and sufficient data processing is carried out with the accuracy required to validate conclusion, fully consistent with the experimental data
	<b>Little</b> evidence for <i>impact of</i> measurement uncertainty on the analysis considered	<b>Some</b> evidence for <i>impact of</i> measurement uncertainty on the analysis considered	<b>Full</b> and <b>appropriate</b> consideration of the impact of <i>measurement</i> uncertainty on the analysis.
	Processed data incorrectly or insufficiently interpreted resulting in conclusion being invalid or very incomplete.	Processed data interpreted so that a broadly valid but incomplete or limited conclusion to the re-search question can be deduced.	Processed data correctly interpreted so that a completely valid and detailed conclusion to the research question can be deduced.

## **EVALUATION**

Investigation and results discussion linked with research question and the accepted scientific context.

0	1 - 2	3 - 4	5 - 6
The student's	Conclusion is <b>outlined</b> ; not relevant	Conclusion is <b>described</b> ; relevant to	Conclusion is described and
report does not reach a standard	to the research question nor supported by data	the research question and supported by the data	justified; relevant to research question and supported by data
described by the descriptors (right)	Conclusion makes <b>superficial</b> comparison to accepted scientific context.	Conclusion is <b>described</b> ; makes some relevant <i>comparison</i> to accepted scientific context	Conclusion is correctly described and justified; relevant comparison to accepted scientific context
	Strengths & weaknesses outlined; restricted to an account of the	Strengths & weaknesses described; some awareness of	Strengths & weaknesses discussed; clear understanding of
	practical or procedural issues	methodological issues* involved in	methodological issues* involved in
	faced. (Ex. limitations of the data and sources of error)	establishing the conclusion. (Ex. limitations of the data & sources of error)	establishing the conclusion. (Ex. limitations of the data & sources of error)
	Outline of very few realistic &	Description of some realistic &	<b>Discussion</b> of realistic & relevant
	relevant suggestions to improve and	relevant suggestions to improve and	suggestions to improve and extend
	extend the investigation.	extend the investigation.	the investigation.

### **COMMUNICATION**

Investigation supports effective communication of the focus, process and outcomes.

0	1 - 2	3 - 4
The student's report <b>does not</b>	Presentation of investigation unclear; difficult to understand the focus, process and outcomes	Presentation of the investigation is clear. Errors do not hamper understanding of focus, process and outcomes
reach a standard described by the descriptors (right)	<b>Poor</b> , <b>unclear</b> <i>report structure</i> : necessary information on focus, process and outcomes is <b>missing/incoherent</b> or <b>disorganized</b> presentation	Clear report structure: necessary information on focus, process and outcomes is present and presented coherently
(light)	Understanding of investigation focus, process and outcomes obscured by the presence of inappropriate/irrelevant information	Report is <b>relevant</b> and <b>concise</b> ; facilitates a ready understanding of the investigation focus, process and outcomes
	Many errors in use of subject specific terminology & conventions* which hamper understanding	Subject specific terminology & conventions are appropriate and correct. Errors do not hamper understanding